AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

| 1 | 1. | (Previously Presented) | A method of using a computer system to | |
|----|---------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | consolidate 1 | multiple configuration model | s of a product, the method comprising: | |
| 3 | perfo | performing with the computer system: | | |
| 4 | | identifying a conflict betw | een at least two of the configuration models, | |
| 5 | | wherein the config | uration models are organized in accordance with | |
| 6 | | respective directed | acyclic graphs, each configuration model | |
| 7 | | includes at least on | e ancestor configuration model family space | |
| 8 | | and a child configu | ration model family space below the ancestor | |
| 9 | | configuration mode | el family space, a first of the conflicting | |
| 10 | | configuration mode | els comprises an ancestor configuration model | |
| 11 | | family space that is | s different than an ancestor configuration model | |
| 12 | | family space of a s | econd of the conflicting configuration model, | |
| 13 | | and each child con | figuration model family space constrains the | |
| 14 | | ancestor configurat | tion model family space above the child in | |
| 15 | | accordance with co | onfiguration rules of the configuration model to | |
| 16 | | which the child bel | ongs; | |
| 17 | | extending at least one of the | ne ancestor configuration model family spaces | |
| 18 | | of the conflicting c | onfiguration models so that the ancestor | |
| 19 | | configuration mode | el family spaces of the first and second | |
| 20 | | conflicting configu | ration models represent the same ancestor | |
| 21 | | configuration mode | el family space; | |
| 22 | | removing from the child co | onfiguration model family space any | |
| 23 | | configuration space | e extended in the ancestor of the child | |
| 24 | | configuration fami | y space; and | |
| 25 | | combining the first and sec | cond configuration models into a single, | |
| 26 | | consolidated mode | l that maintains a non-cyclic chain of | |
| 27 | | dependencies amor | ng families and features of families for use in | |
| 28 | | answering configur | ration questions related to the product. | |

-2 of 19- S/N: 10/827,078

| 1 | 2. | (Original) The method of claim 1 further comprising: | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | detect | ing any inconsistencies between rules included in the consolidated model; | |
| 3 | | and | |
| 4 | attemp | oting to resolve any detected inconsistencies. | |
| 1 | 3. | (Previously Presented) A computer system configured for | |
| 2 | consolidating multiple configuration models of a product, the system comprising: | | |
| 3 | a processor; and | | |
| 4 | a memory, coupled to the processor, having code stored therein and executable by | | |
| 5 | | the processor for: | |
| 6 | | identifying a conflict between at least two of the configuration models, | |
| 7 | | wherein the configuration models are organized in accordance with | |
| 8 | | respective directed acyclic graphs, each configuration model | |
| 9 | | includes at least one ancestor configuration model family space | |
| 10 | | and a child configuration model family space below the ancestor | |
| 11 | | configuration model family space, a first of the conflicting | |
| 12 | | configuration models comprises an ancestor configuration model | |
| 13 | | family space that is different than an ancestor configuration model | |
| 14 | | family space of a second of the conflicting configuration model, | |
| 15 | | and each child configuration model family space constrains the | |
| 16 | | ancestor configuration model family space above the child in | |
| 17 | | accordance with configuration rules of the configuration model to | |
| 18 | | which the child belongs; | |
| 19 | | extending at least one of the ancestor configuration model family spaces | |
| 20 | | of the conflicting configuration models so that the ancestor | |
| 21 | | configuration model family spaces of the first and second | |
| 22 | | conflicting configuration models represent the same ancestor | |
| 23 | | configuration model family space; | |

-3 of 19- S/N: 10/827,078

| 24 | removing from the child configuration model family space any |
|----|--|
| 25 | configuration space extended in the ancestor of the child |
| 26 | configuration family space; and |
| 27 | combining the first and second configuration models into a single, |
| 28 | consolidated model that maintains a non-cyclic chain of |
| 29 | dependencies among families and features of families for use in |
| 30 | answering configuration questions related to the product. |
| | |

4. (Currently Amended) A <u>tangible</u>, computer readable medium having instructions encoded therein and executable by a processor to consolidate multiple configuration models of a product, the instructions comprising code for:

identifying a conflict between at least two of the configuration models, wherein the configuration models are organized in accordance with respective directed acyclic graphs, each configuration model includes at least one ancestor configuration model family space and a child configuration model family space below the ancestor configuration model family space, a first of the conflicting configuration models comprises an ancestor configuration model family space that is different than an ancestor configuration model family space of a second of the conflicting configuration model, and each child configuration model family space constrains the ancestor configuration model family space above the child in accordance with configuration rules of the configuration model to which the child belongs; extending at least one of the ancestor configuration model family spaces of the conflicting configuration models so that the ancestor configuration model

extending at least one of the ancestor configuration model family spaces of the conflicting configuration models so that the ancestor configuration model family spaces of the first and second conflicting configuration models represent the same ancestor configuration model family space; removing from the child configuration model family space any configuration

space extended in the ancestor of the child configuration family space; and combining the first and second configuration models into a single, consolidated

model that maintains a non-cyclic chain of dependencies among families

-4 of 19- S/N: 10/827,078

| 24 | | | or use in answering configuration questions |
|-----------|---|--------------------------------|---|
| 25 | | related to the product. | |
| 1 | 5. | (Previously Presented) | The method of claim 1 wherein the |
| 2 | configuratio | n models represent configura | ation models of vehicles. |
| 1 | 6. | (Previously Presented) | The method of claim 1 wherein the |
| 2 | consolidated | I model includes only buildal | ole configurations. |
| 1 | 7. | (Previously Presented) The | ne method of claim 1 wherein: |
| 2 | extending at least one of the ancestor configuration model family spaces of the | | |
| 3 | | conflicting configuration | models so that the ancestor configuration model |
| 4 | | family spaces of the first a | and second conflicting configuration models |
| 5 | | represent the same ancesto | or configuration model family further comprises: |
| 6 | | extending a rule from the | first configuration model into the ancestor |
| 7 | | configuration mod | el family space; and |
| 8 | remo | oving from the child configur | ation model family space any configuration |
| 9 | | space extended in the ance | estor of the child configuration family space |
| 10 | | further comprises: | |
| 11 | | repairing the extension of | the rule in the child family. |
| 1 | 8. | (Previously Presented) The | ne method of claim 1 wherein combining the |
| 2 | first and sec | ond models into a single, cor | asolidated model further comprises: |
| 3 | loadi | ng the configuration models | into a memory of the computer system; |
| 4 | cons | tructing a directed acyclic gr | aph of all rules in all the configuration models; |
| 5 | for each configuration model, determining which portions of an overall | | |
| 6 | | configuration space for w | hich the configuration model does not provide a |
| 7 | | buildable configuration; a | nd |
| 8 | for e | ach configuration model, cor | nstraining statements of the rules within the |
| 9 | | configuration model to fal | ll within a space of defining features of the |
| 10 | | configuration model. | |

-5 of 19- S/N: 10/827,078

| 1 | 9. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 8 wherein determining which | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | portions of an overall configuration space for which each configuration model does not | | |
| 3 | provide a buildable configuration further comprises: | | |
| 4 | determining which families are ancestors of families of defining constraints; and | | |
| 5 | subtracting a right hand side and a left hand side of each rule of each family that | | |
| 6 | are ancestors of families of defining constraints from a rule representing | | |
| 7 | all buildable configurations. | | |
| 1 | 10. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 3 further comprising code | | |
| 2 | for: | | |
| 3 | detecting any inconsistencies between rules included in the consolidated model; | | |
| 4 | and | | |
| 5 | attempting to resolve any detected inconsistencies. | | |
| 1 | 11. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 3 wherein the | | |
| 2 | configuration models represent configuration models of vehicles. | | |
| 1 | 12. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 3 wherein the | | |
| 2 | consolidated model includes only buildable configurations. | | |
| 1 | 13. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 3 wherein: | | |
| 2 | the code for extending at least one of the ancestor configuration model family | | |
| 3 | spaces of the conflicting configuration models so that the ancestor | | |
| 4 | configuration model family spaces of the first and second conflicting | | |
| 5 | configuration models represent the same ancestor configuration model | | |
| 6 | family space comprises code for extending a rule from the first conflicting | | |
| 7 | configuration model into the ancestor family; and | | |
| 8 | the code for removing from the child configuration model family space any | | |
| 9 | configuration space extended in the ancestor of the child configuration | | |
| 10 | family space comprises code for repairing the extension of the rule in the | | |
| 11 | child family. | | |

-6 of 19- S/N: 10/827,078

| 1 | 14. (Freviously Fresented) The system of claim 3 the code for combining the | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | first and second models into a single, consolidated model further comprises code for: | | |
| 3 | loading the configuration models into a memory of the computer system; | | |
| 4 | constructing a directed acyclic graph of all rules in all the configuration models; | | |
| 5 | for each configuration model, determining which portions of an overall | | |
| 6 | configuration space for which the configuration model does not provide a | | |
| 7 | buildable configuration; and | | |
| 8 | for each configuration model, constraining statements of the rules within the | | |
| 9 | configuration model to fall within a space of defining features of the | | |
| 10 | configuration model. | | |
| 1 | 15. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 14 wherein the code for | | |
| 2 | determining which portions of an overall configuration space for which the configuration | | |
| 3 | model does not provide a buildable configuration further comprises code for: | | |
| 4 | determining which families are ancestors of families of defining constraints; and | | |
| 5 | subtracting a right hand side and a left hand side of each rule of each family that | | |
| 6 | are ancestors of families of defining constraints from a rule representing | | |
| 7 | all buildable configurations. | | |
| 1 | 16. (Previously Presented) The computer readable medium of claim 4 further | | |
| 2 | comprising code for: | | |
| 3 | detecting any inconsistencies between rules included in the consolidated model; | | |
| 4 | and | | |
| 5 | attempting to resolve any detected inconsistencies. | | |
| 1 | 17. (Previously Presented) The computer readable medium of claim 4 | | |
| 2 | wherein the models represent configuration models of vehicles. | | |
| 1 | 18. (Previously Presented) The computer readable medium of claim 4 | | |
| 2 | wherein the configuration models represent configuration models of vehicles. | | |

-7 of 19- S/N: 10/827,078

| 1 | 19. (Previously | y Presented) The computer readable medium of claim 4 | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | wherein: | | |
| 3 | the code for extending at least one of the ancestor configuration model family | | |
| 4 | spaces of t | the conflicting configuration models so that the ancestor | |
| 5 | configurat | ion model family spaces of the first and second conflicting | |
| 6 | configurat | ion models represent the same ancestor configuration model | |
| 7 | family spa | ce comprises code for extending a rule from the first conflicting | |
| 8 | configurat | ion model into the ancestor family; and | |
| 9 | the code for removing from the child configuration model family space any | | |
| 10 | configurat | ion space extended in the ancestor of the child configuration | |
| 11 | family spa | ce comprises code for repairing the extension of the rule in the | |
| 12 | child fami | ly. | |
| 1 | 20. (Previousl | y Presented) The computer readable medium of claim 4 the | |
| 2 | code for combining the first and second models into a single, consolidated model further | | |
| 3 | comprises code for: | | |
| 4 | loading the config | guration models into a memory of the computer system; | |
| 5 | constructing a dire | ected acyclic graph of all rules in all the configuration models; | |
| 6 | for each configura | ation model, determining which portions of an overall | |
| 7 | configurat | ion space for which the configuration model does not provide a | |
| 8 | buildable o | configuration; and | |
| 9 | for each configura | ation model, constraining statements of the rules within the | |
| 10 | configurat | ion model to fall within a space of defining features of the | |
| 11 | configurat | ion model. | |
| 1 | 21. (Previousl | y Presented) The computer readable medium of claim 20 | |
| 2 | wherein the code for dete | rmining which portions of an overall configuration space for | |
| 3 | which the configuration model does not provide a buildable configuration further | | |
| 4 | comprises code for: | | |
| 5 | determining which | h families are ancestors of families of defining constraints; and | |

-8 of 19- S/N: 10/827,078

| 6 | subtrac | ting a right hand side and a left hand side of each rule of each family that |
|----|------------------|--|
| 7 | | are ancestors of families of defining constraints from a rule representing |
| 8 | | all buildable configurations. |
| 1 | 22. | (Previously Presented) A computer system for performing an automatic |
| 2 | consolidation of | of multiple configuration models of a configurable product, the system |
| 3 | comprising: | |
| 4 | means | for identifying a conflict between at least two of the configuration models, |
| 5 | | wherein the configuration models are organized in accordance with |
| 6 | | respective directed acyclic graphs, each configuration model includes at |
| 7 | | least one ancestor configuration model family space and a child |
| 8 | | configuration model family space below the ancestor configuration model |
| 9 | | family space, a first of the conflicting configuration models comprises an |
| 10 | | ancestor configuration model family space that is different than an |
| 11 | | ancestor configuration model family space of a second of the conflicting |
| 12 | | configuration model, and each child configuration model family space |
| 13 | | constrains the ancestor configuration model family space above the child |
| 14 | | in accordance with configuration rules of the configuration model to |
| 15 | | which the child belongs; |
| 16 | means | for extending at least one of the ancestor configuration model family |
| 17 | | spaces of the conflicting configuration models so that the ancestor |
| 18 | | configuration model family spaces of the first and second conflicting |
| 19 | | configuration models represent the same ancestor configuration model |
| 20 | | family space; |
| 21 | means | for removing from the child configuration model family space any |
| 22 | | configuration space extended in the ancestor of the child configuration |
| 23 | | family space; and |
| 24 | means | for combining the first and second configuration models into a single, |
| 25 | | consolidated model that maintains a non-cyclic chain of dependencies |
| 26 | | among families and features of families for use in providing an answer to |

configuration questions related to the product.

27

-9 of 19- S/N: 10/827,078